In Vivo Confocal Microscopy of Conjunctival Roundish Bright Objects: Young, Older, and Sjögren Subjects
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PURPOSE. To investigate by laser scanning confocal microscopy (LSCM) the density of presumed epithelial, presumed goblet, and presumed inflammatory cells in the tarsal conjunctiva of healthy young and older subjects and in patients with Sjögren’s syndrome (SS). To evaluate the interobserver variability and to compare the measured densities with known age-related and SS-related changes.

METHODS. The authors studied 24 eyes of 12 healthy young subjects (8 women, 4 men; average age, 26 years; age range, 21–30 years), 24 eyes of 12 healthy older subjects (10 women, 2 men; average age, 68 years; average age range, 67–74 years), and 24 eyes of 12 patients with SS (10 women, 2 men; average age, 62 years; age range, 49–72 years). The inferior tarsal conjunctiva of each patient was examined in vivo by LSCM. The density of the three cell types was independently analyzed by two masked investigators.

RESULTS. The density of presumed epithelial, presumed goblet, and presumed inflammatory cells was significantly higher in SS patients than in both control groups (P < 0.001; Mann-Whitney U test). The densities for presumed goblet cells calculated by the two investigators were significantly different from one another (P < 0.01, Mann-Whitney U test) and were not correlated.

CONCLUSIONS. LSCM is a promising tool that should profoundly change the study of the ocular surface, but it requires accurate standardization before it is used in clinical practice. (Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2011;52:4829–4832) DOI:10.1167/iovs.10-6215

Laser scanning confocal microscopy (LSCM) is an efficient, noninvasive, and fast tool that can differentiate components of the ocular surface with a resolution similar to that of histology.1-3 In the past few years, studies have focused on the epithelial cell layers and abnormal cell populations of the inflamed bulbar and tarsal conjunctivae.4-8 Recent literature has suggested that conjunctival epithelial cells1,5,7 polymorphonuclear inflammatory cells,1,6,8 and goblet cells7,9,10 even if they have morphologic similarities, can be differentiated by LSCM. Recently, two uncontrolled case series9,10 used confocal microscopy to describe the density of goblet cells in bulbar conjunctiva in Sjögren’s syndrome (SS) and in chemical burn patients. Compared with impression cytology results, the densities determined by confocal microscopy were significantly higher in one report9 and very similar in the other.10 Both papers reported a significant correlation between the two techniques.

The purpose of this study was to investigate by LSCM the densities of tarsal conjunctival presumed epithelial, presumed goblet, and presumed inflammatory cells in healthy young and older subjects and in patients with SS. We measured the interobserver variability, and we compared the measured densities of the three cell types with known age-related and SS-related changes. These data were used to assess the reliability of LSCM alone for analyzing cell populations in the tarsal conjunctival epithelium.

PATIENTS, MATERIALS, AND METHODS

Patients
All subjects in this study signed an informed consent agreement provided in compliance with the Italian privacy law. The patients were treated in accordance with the criteria of the Declaration of Helsinki.

We studied 24 eyes of 12 healthy young subjects (8 women, 4 men; average age, 26 years; age range, 21–50 years), 24 eyes of 12 healthy older subjects (10 women, 2 men; average age, 68 years; age range, 67–74 years), and 24 eyes of 12 patients with SS (10 women, 2 men; average age, 62 years age range, 49–72 years). Inclusion criteria for healthy patients were the absence of dry eye symptoms, including an Ocular Surface Disease Index (OSDI) score <1311 and tear breakup time (BUT) >10 seconds.12 Diagnosis of SS was in accordance with the American-European Consensus Group criteria.13 Exclusion criteria were the presence of lymphomas, AIDS, sarcoidosis, diabetes mellitus, dystrophies or infections of the ocular surface, systemic treatments with drugs of known ocular surface toxicity, local treatments with drugs for glaucoma, contact lens wear, and previous ophthalmic surgery. Exclusion criteria also included the use of topical steroid or nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs at the moment of the examination and in the previous 2 weeks. Use of unpreserved artificial tears was allowed.

Clinical Examination
An accurate medical history was taken for each participant in the study, and the subjects completed the OSDI questionnaire. All subjects underwent a thorough biomicroscopic examination of the ocular adnexa and anterior segment and an evaluation tear film BUT to verify conformance with the inclusion and exclusion criteria.

Confocal Microscopy

Image Acquisition. All subjects underwent inferior tarsal conjunctival LSCM with the Heidelberg retina tomograph (HRT II with the Corneal Rostock Module; Heidelberg Engineering, Dossenheim, Germany) using a scanning wavelength of 670 nm. The objective lens (65× immersion; Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany) was covered by a polymethacrylate sterile cap and had a working distance of 0.0 to 2.0
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mm. Before each examination, 1 drop of oxybuprocaine chlorohydrate 0.4% and ophthalmic gel (polyacryl gel 0.2%) were separately instilled in the conjunctival fornix. The duration of each confocal examination was between 1 and 2 minutes.

Confocal microscopy was conducted with the help of an accessory digital camera set perpendicularly to the lens. The imaged area was 400 × 400 μm, approximately at the center of tarsal conjunctiva of the everted lower eyelid. We set the depth to zero at the most superficial epithelial viewable layer, and we then manually acquired 15 images at a depth of 20 μm with the microscope in the acquisition modality Section Mode. Imaging was then repeated in the contralateral eye.

**Image Analysis.** We selected the three best-focused images of the conjunctival epithelium for each eye. As described by others,1,3,4,7,9,10,14,15 we identified three different cell populations in the conjunctival epithelium for each eye. Presumed epithelial cells were round, bright, hyperreflective objects of approximately 10-μm diameter (Fig. 1A); presumed goblet cells were roundish, slightly larger, and brighter than surrounding cells of approximately 30-μm diameter (Fig. 1B); and presumed inflammatory cells were irregular, ovoid, multilobate, comma-shaped, very hyperreflective objects (Fig. 1C). For statistical analysis, the density of the three types of cells (presumed epithelial cells, presumed goblet cells, and presumed inflammatory cells) was calculated as the mean of the three selected images.

Two masked investigators (EV and SB) independently calculated the cell densities of the three cell populations using the installed HRT II software (Cell Count Software; Heidelberg Engineering GmbH).

**Statistical Analysis.** All data were expressed as means ± SD. The Kruskal-Wallis test was used to compare the values of each variable among the three groups.

![Figure 1](image-url)  
**Figure 1.** In vivo confocal images of tarsal conjunctiva. (A) Presumed epithelial cells. (B) Presumed goblet cells. (C) Presumed inflammatory cells.

**RESULTS.**

The density of the presumed epithelial cells in the tarsal conjunctiva of the healthy older subjects was significantly less than that of the healthy young subjects (Table 1). In contrast, the density of the presumed goblet cells was significantly greater in the older subjects than in the young subjects. For presumed inflammatory cells, there was no difference between older and younger subjects. The densities of presumed epithelial, presumed goblet, and presumed inflammatory cells were significantly higher in SS patients than in both control groups (P < 0.001, Mann-Whitney U test; Table 1). Within each of the three subject groups, there were no significant correlations among the three different cell types.

For the purpose of determining interobserver variability, all three groups of subjects were combined. There were no differences in cell densities of either presumed epithelial or presumed inflammatory cells when estimated independently by the two investigators (Table 2). Moreover, there was a strong linear correlation between the values calculated by the two investigators (P < 0.001, Spearman). In contrast, the cell density of the presumed goblet cells estimated by investigator 2 was significantly higher and not linearly correlated with the
cell density of the presumed goblet cells estimated by investigator 1.

**DISCUSSION**

LSCM offers the fascinating prospect of optical sampling of the in vivo ocular surface quickly and noninvasively. It could potentially replace cytological examinations.6,9,10 The use of this technology as a clinical tool should reduce the cost and time of examinations and provide noninvasive diagnostics without laboratory analyses. It may also enable the study of cell composition of the ocular surface with repeated measurements over time without inducing any tissue alteration.11 However, these potential clinical applications need a diagnostic validation of LSCM in the form of a unique and widely accepted interpretation of the images.

In this study we analyzed three different cell populations of the inferior tarsal conjunctiva that were recently described by confocal microscopy and are clinically important.3,4,9,10 We studied the lower tarsal conjunctiva because, in our experience, that is easier and more comfortable for the patient than examination of the superior tarsal or bulbar conjunctiva, especially in procedures involving prolonged contact. The procedure might also be well accepted if performed on the temporal bulbar conjunctiva, but, according to the literature,18,19 this area of the ocular surface has the lowest density of goblet cells. Examination of cell density was conducted at the intermediate layers of the conjunctival epithelium, approximately 20 μm in depth. At this level, all three cell populations studied were readily observed. Goblet cells in particular have already been described as more easily viewed just below the most superficial epithelium.3

The presumed epithelial cells had an increased density in patients with SS. This could be caused by the higher proliferative stimulus of the basal epithelial cells in response to surface epithelial damage and the inflammatory process. Data to support this interpretation have been reported in corneal histologic studies in animal models and by in vivo confocal microscopy of humans.20–22

Wakamatsu et al.8 recently reported different results in the bulbar conjunctiva of SS patients. They found decreased epithelial cell density at all levels, even at the basal surface, and suggested that the elevation of the ocular surface inflammatory status could decrease the overall turnover of epithelial cells. In our study, the reduced presumed epithelial cell density in older subjects compared with young subjects might be due to an age-related reduction in cell proliferation, as suggested by Benitez del Castillo et al.23,24

SS patients had a significantly higher density of presumed inflammatory cells than the other two groups. Our results were similar to those of several recent controlled studies in which these objects, interpreted as lymphocytes or polymorphonuclear cells, showed a good correlation with some clinical parameters.4,6,8

The examination of presumed goblet cells was more challenging and led to surprising results. We adopted a definition and reference images of presumed goblet cells as consistently as possible with those reported in the literature.3,7,9,10,15 We did not consider the description by Messmer et al.1 of goblet cells being hyporeflective or opaque by Rath et al.14 that showed much larger cells than shown by the other authors.

We found a greater goblet cell density in the older subjects than in the young subjects and an even greater density in SS patients. These data seem to be incompatible with the current understanding of age- and disease-related changes.25,28 In fact, these might not have been goblet cells but, rather, modified nongoblet epithelial cells exhibiting squamous metaplasia in response to inflammatory signals. A recent study using in vivo LSCM reported no significant age-related changes in goblet cell density of the bulbar conjunctiva.15 The same group of researchers, using LSCM to estimate conjunctival goblet cell density in SS and in chemical burn patients, reported good correlations with values obtained by impression cytology.9,10 However, these studies lacked adequate controls; therefore, the interpretation of the results is not certain. The presumed goblet cell density in our study was the only one that had a low interobserver agreement. This discrepancy between observers might be attributed to an incorrect interpretation of what the observed cells represented or the inability of LSCM to clearly discriminate between goblet and nongoblet cells.

In conclusion, LSCM is a technology that could profoundly change the approach to the ocular surface, from bench to bedside. Recent literature highlights ever newer applications of this technology, giving us surprising perspectives for research, diagnosis, and follow-up. We think our results may also be an important warning against using nonstandard approaches in clinical practice. Analysis of the conjunctival roundish, bright objects underscores the problems of image differentiation, quantification, and correct interpretation that still afflict LSCM. This suggests adding caution to the enthusiasm for its clinical use. The use of LSCM for in vivo study of conjunctival goblet cells has great possibility, but, based on our results, it is impracticable in clinical applications because of poor interobserver agreement and uncertain interpretation of images.
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